

Prince George SFMP Public Advisory Group

9:00 AM – 4:30 PM, April 23, 2005

Civic Centre (Room 201-203), Prince George, BC

MINUTES

In Attendance:

Public Representatives
& Alternates, and First
Nations:

Betty Abbs
Chris Andreschefski
Yvonne Gaumont

Jo Graber
Virginia Karr
Dave King
Anne Migvar
Mike Morris
Esther Perry

Jim Reid
Len Shankel
Dave Stevenson
Karl Tress

Observers:

Gord Haines

Licensee Steering Committee:

Chair: David Jewesson - Winton Global
Kerry Deschamps - Canfor
Cecil Gray – Lakeland Mills Ltd.
Shane Neukomm – Carrier Lumber Ltd.
Steve Webb - BCTS

Advisors:

Loretta Drolet - MoF

Facilitator: Roxanne Yanishewski (Roxanne Yanishewski & Associates)

Scribe: Chris Bailey (Roxanne Yanishewski & Associates)

1. Welcome & Introductions

- A. Welcome by chair of Licensee Steering Committee.
- B. Reviewed PAG April 9th, 2005 meeting evaluation results.

2. Reviewed Agenda

- i. Agenda accepted.

3. Reviewed Minutes

- A. PAG adopted the April 9th minutes as written with the following changes (changes are underlined):
- i. Item 4.c.iv of the minutes was corrected to read: “Indicator 4.2.A.a.i and its target, variance, and comments accepted...”
 - ii. Item 6.c.xiv of the minutes was corrected to read: “Indicator 2.2.A.a.v and its target, variance, and comments accepted: “The amount of landscape level biodiversity reserves within the DFA; Target: Areas set aside to maintain natural forest conditions across the DFA as per the latest PG TSR; Variance: -1%...”
 - iii. Action item Apr 9 – 04 of the minutes was corrected to read: “Response to PAG recommendation (see minutes item 6.d.i) under Criterion 2.0 *Maintenance and Enhancement of Forest Ecosystem Conditions and Productivity.*”
 - iv. Action item Apr 9 – 05 of the minutes was corrected to read: “Respond to PAG recommendation (see minutes 7.f) Licensees and BCTS to develop a new indicator under Criterion 1.0 Conservation of Biological Diversity.”

4. Update Actions

- A. See updated Action Table.
 - B. Nov 8 - 02: Outstanding and will be review during the modeling forecasting phase. LSC will report back to the PAG in September 2005.
 - C. Dec 9 - 15: LSC proposed new indicator in the performance matrix.
 - D. Apr 9 – 01: LSC added item to CI Matrix.
 - E. Apr 9 – 02: LSC proposed new indicator (5.3.A.a.iii) in the performance matrix.
 - F. Apr 9 – 04: LSC added item to CI Matrix.
 - G. Apr 9 – 05: LSC proposed two new indicators (1.2.A.a.viii and 1.2.A.a.ix) in the performance matrix.
5. Finalization of the Performance Matrix – Discussion and decisions by PAG on additional criteria, elements, values, objectives, indicators, targets, variances and comments.

- A. Criterion 1.0 - Conservation of Biological Diversity.
 - i. Review of *Criterion 1.0 – Conservation of Biological Diversity* and newly proposed indicators under Element 1.2 -Species Diversity.
 - ii. Discussion on *Criterion 1.0 – Conservation of Biological Diversity* and newly proposed indicators under Element 1.2 -Species Diversity.

- iii. Consensus of PAG Representatives on newly proposed indicators under *Criterion 1.0 – Conservation of Biological Diversity* (Element 1.2 - Species Diversity) values, indicators, targets, variances, and comments:
 1. Indicator 1.2.A.a.viii and its target, variance, and comments accepted: “Percent of site plans reviewed by a person trained in Species at Risk; Target: 100%; Variance: 0%; Comments: Part of the review is to ensure that the person on site has the appropriate training in Species at Risk.”
 2. Indicator 1.2.A.a.ix and its target, variance, and comments accepted: “Percent of site plans with identified Species at Risk that have appropriate management strategies; Target: 100%; Variance: 0%; Comments: Until management strategies are developed qualified professionals will be consulted and thereafter as required.”
- iv. The PAG had no additional criterion, elements, values, objectives, or indicators for Criterion 1.0 - *Conservation of Biological Diversity*.

B. *Criterion 2.0 - Maintenance and Enhancement of Forest Ecosystem Conditions and Productivity.*

- i. The PAG had no additional criterion, elements, values, objectives, or indicators for Criterion 2.0 - *Maintenance and Enhancement of Forest Ecosystem Conditions and Productivity*.

C. *Criterion 3.0 – Conservation of Soil and Water Resources.*

- i. Review of *Criterion 3.0 – Conservation of Biological Diversity* and newly proposed indicators under Element 3.1–Soil Quality and Quantity and 3.2 Water Quality and Quantity.
- ii. Discussion on *Criterion 3.0 – Conservation of Biological Diversity* and newly proposed indicators under Element 3.1–Soil Quality and Quantity and 3.2 -Water Quality and Quantity.
- iii. Consensus of PAG Representatives on newly proposed indicators under *Criterion 3.0 – Conservation of Soil and Water Resources* values, indicators, targets, variances, and comments:
 1. Indicator 3.1.A.a.ii and its target, variance, and comments accepted: “The percentage of cutblock area occupied by permanent access structures; Target: $\leq 5\%$ Averaged annually; Variance: +1%; Comments: Show percent of area in permanent access structure in cutblocks. Includes road permit access adjacent to the cutblock. Report out on the cumulative percent of permanent access structures in the THLB annually.”

2. Indicator 3.2.A.a.ii and its target, variance, and comments accepted: “The percentage of stream crossings that are installed or removed consistent with erosion control plans or procedures; Target: 100% annually; Variance: -5%; comments: Applies to all stream crossings. Qualified professionals will assess when an erosion and sediment control plan are required.”
3. Indicator 3.2.A.a.vi and its target, variance, and comments accepted: “Percent of watersheds with Peak Flow Index (PFI) calculations completed; Target: 100% by Jun 2006; Variance: +7 months; comments: Once PFI calculations are completed, report back to PAG and establish PFI targets for watersheds.”

iv. PAG Recommendations

1. A PAG member requested that the issue of access management be re-opened at this time. PAG representatives decided not to reopen access management.
2. PAG members requested that the indicator 3.2.A.a.ii of the performance matrix be reopened. There were no objections from PAG representatives to revisit 3.2.A.a.ii of the performance matrix. The wording for this indicator was adjusted (see item C.iii.2. above).
3. Develop a new indicator around specifying the criteria for determining when erosion control plans will be developed and used. Three PAG representatives objected to developing a new indicator on the basis that other indicators covered this issue.

LSC responded to this recommendation by adding the following to the CI matrix: “Licensees and BCTS to evaluate the effectiveness of erosion and sediment control procedures around stream crossings” (see item 13 of CI Matrix).

- v. The PAG had no additional criterion, elements, values, objectives, or indicators for Criterion 3.0 - *Conservation of Soil and Water Resources*.

D. Criterion 4.0 – *Forest Ecosystem Contributions to Global Ecological Cycles*.

- i. The PAG had no additional criterion, elements, values, objectives, or indicators for Criterion 4.0 - *Forest Ecosystem Contributions to Global Ecological Cycles*.

E. Criterion 5.0 – *Multiple Benefits to Society*.

- i. Review of Criterion 5.0 – *Multiple Benefits to Society* and one newly proposed indicator under Element 5.3 – Fair Distribution of Benefits and Costs.
- ii. Discussion on *Criterion 5.0 – Multiple Benefits to Society* and one newly proposed indicator under Element 5.3 – Fair Distribution of Benefits and Costs.
- iii. Consensus of PAG Representatives on newly proposed indicators under *Criterion 5.0 – Multiple Benefits to Society*, values, indicators, targets, variances, and comments:
 1. Indicator 5.3.A.a.iii and its target, variance, and comments accepted: “Number of loss time accidents (days) in Woodlands Operations; Target: 0; Variance: 0; Comments: Licensees and BCTS will report out on all lost time forestry accidents in the DFA. After data are collected for one year, modify the indicator to show improvements.”
- iv. PAG Recommendation:
 1. PAG members requested that the indicator 5.1.A.a.iii of the performance matrix be reopened. There were no objections from PAG representatives to revisit 5.1.A.a.iii of the performance matrix. The wording for this indicator was changed from “Harvesting” to “treatment” and accepted: “Area with stand damaging agents will be prioritized for treatment.”
- v. The PAG had no additional criterion, elements, values, objectives, or indicators for Criterion 5.0 - *Multiple Benefits to Society*.

F. Criterion 6.0 – *Accepting Society’s Responsibility for Sustainable Development*.

- i. PAG Recommendation:
 1. Add commitment to reduce herbicide use to the CI matrix.
LSC responded to this recommendation by adding the following to the CI matrix: “Licensees and BCTS to evaluate opportunities for reducing the use of herbicide in forestry operations (for example increased manual brushing, planting larger stock, etc).” (See item 14 of the CI Matrix).
- ii. The PAG had no additional criterion, elements, values, objectives, or indicators for Criterion 6.0 - *Accepting Society’s Responsibility for Sustainable Development*.

6. Ranking of Criteria and Elements

- A. Kerry Deschamps presented the Multi-Element Analysis Survey. PAG representatives and alternates completed the Multi-Element Analysis survey forms and submitted suggestions on possible scenarios to model.
- B. Kerry Deschamps reviewed the results of ranking the criterion, elements, and the scenarios suggested by the PAG. The following five (5) scenarios were proposed and accepted by the PAG for modeling and forecasting:
- i. Base Case Scenario;
 - ii. Enhance timber harvesting;
 - iii. Enhance Biodiversity;
 - iv. Enhance protection around water values; and
 - v. Enhance of community values.

7. Evaluation Forms distributed and completed.

8. Future Meetings – Early September 2005 (specific date TBA).

9. Actions

| ID# | ACTION | WHO | DEADLINE | STATUS |
|-------------|---|--------------------|-----------------|---|
| Nov 8 -02 | Report back on ADA and Crown land within City limits when it is appropriate in the plan. | Steering Committee | September 2005 | Ongoing – Will be reviewed during the modeling and forecasting phase. |
| Dec 9 – 04 | Find Representative for Commercial Fishing sector. | Steering Committee | Jan 15, 2005 | Sector to be removed during the next review of the ToR. |
| Dec 9 –15 | Steering Committee to respond to recommendation that indicator on roads in THLB is made more meaningful. | Steering Committee | Spring 2005 | Completed |
| Mar 5 – 04 | Respond to PAG recommendations on <i>Conservation of Biological Diversity</i> . | Steering Committee | March 31, 2005 | Completed |
| Mar 12 – 04 | Respond to PAG recommendations on <i>Conservation of Biological Diversity</i> from March 12 th , 2005 PAG meeting. | Steering Committee | March 31, 2005 | Completed |
| Mar 12 – 05 | Respond to PAG recommendation to develop | Steering Committee | September 2005 | |

| ID# | ACTION | WHO | DEADLINE | STATUS |
|-------------|---|--------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| 05 | communication plan within DFA with special attention to rural communities. (See <i>Accepting Society's Responsibility for Sustainable Development</i>). | Committee | | |
| Mar 31 - 01 | Clarify the origin of the definitions in the final version of the PAG glossary (i.e., MoF, CSA, and PAG). | Steering Committee | September 2005 | |
| Apr 9 - 01 | Respond to PAG recommendation (see minutes item 5.d.i) under Criterion 5.0 <i>Multiple Benefits to Society</i> . | Steering Committee | April 23, 2005 | Completed |
| Apr 9 - 02 | Respond to PAG recommendation (see minutes item 5.d.ii) under Criterion 5.0 <i>Multiple Benefits to Society</i> . | Steering Committee | April 23, 2005 | Completed |
| Apr 9 - 03 | Review performance matrix to ensure that indicators and comments are consistent. | Steering Committee | April 23, 2005 | Completed |
| Apr 9 - 04 | Respond to PAG recommendation (see minutes item 6.d.i) under Criterion 2.0 <i>Maintenance and Enhancement of Forest Ecosystem Conditions and Productivity</i> | Steering Committee | April 23, 2005 | Completed |
| Apr 9 - 05 | Respond to PAG recommendation (see minutes 7.f) Licensees and BCTS to develop a new indicator under Criterion 1.0 Conservation of Biological Diversity. | Steering Committee | April 23, 2005 | Completed |
| Apr 23 - 01 | Make consistent the comments for indicator 2.2.A.a.v "amount of landscape level biodiversity reserves within the DFA: "Refers to OGMA's and areas not managed by MoF (parks, recreation areas, new protected areas, new protected areas, Herrick Old Growth Reserve). | Steering Committee | May 1, 2005 | |
| Apr 23 - 02 | Add the word "be" to the comments of indicator 5.1.A.b.xii. | Steering Committee | May 1, 2005 | |
| Apr 23 - 03 | Update the wording in the performance matrix for duplicate indicators (5.1.A.a.iii & 4.1.A.a.iii | Steering Committee | May 1, 2005 | |

| ID# | ACTION | WHO | DEADLINE | STATUS |
|------------|---|--------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | and others). | | | |
| Apr 23 -04 | Review and clean up the wording in "Comments" column of the performance matrix. Highlight any changes made. | Steering Committee | May 1, 2005 | |
| | | | | |