

CSA - TFL30**Public Advisory Group Meeting**

February 4, 2006, 9:00 am – 4:15 pm
 Prince George Civic Centre - Room 208

Minutes

Attendance:

<p><i>Public Advisory Group:</i> Betty Abbs - Local Community (Alternate) Jo Graber - Historical/Cultural Gord Haines - Hunting, Fishing - recreational Dave Kim – Forestry Contractor - General Dave King - Recreation (non-commercial) Sandra Kinsey - Environment Anne Migvar - Agriculture/Ranching Len Shankel - Trapping Dave Stevenson - Local Community Ray Vallee - McLeod Lake Indian Band</p>	<p><i>CSA Advisors:</i> Kerry Deschamps - Canfor Rhonda Thibeault - ILMB</p>
<p><i>Facilitator & Scribe:</i> Roxanne Yanishewski (R. Yanishewski & Associates)</p>	<p><i>Observers:</i> Adrien A.J. Voyer</p>

A. Welcome & Introduction

1. Members signed in
2. Introductions
3. Confirmed agenda
4. Meeting evaluation results for January 21, 2006 meeting reviewed.
5. Minutes of the January 21, 2006 meeting accepted with the following addition.
 - a. Add Action - Kerry to develop an indicator regarding keeping the common species common.

B. Canfor's draft SFMP Performance Matrix for TFL 30

1. Draft Performance Matrix: Criterion 1.0 *Conservation of Biological Diversity*.

- a. Review of Criterion 1.0 *Conservation of Biological Diversity* (Element 1.3 – Genetic Diversity) values, objectives, indicators, targets, variances, and comments.
- b. Discussion on Criterion 1.0 *Conservation of Biological Diversity* (Element 1.3 – Genetic Diversity) values, objectives, indicators, targets, variances, and comments.
- c. Consensus of PAG Representatives on Criterion 1.0 *Conservation of Biological Diversity* (Element 3.1 – Genetic Diversity) indicators, targets, variances, and comments:
 - i. Value 1.3.A accepted: Genetic Diversity.
 - ii. Objective 1.3.A.i accepted: Maintain natural genetic diversity.
 - iii. Indicator 1.3a and its target, variance, and comments accepted: The amount of landscape-level biodiversity reserves within the DFA; Target: Hectares set aside to maintain natural forest conditions across the DFA as per the latest Management Plan; Variance: 0%; Comments: May be required to harvest in landscape reserves due to fires/pests. If this is the case, bring back to the PAG for discussion. Reserves and appropriate/representative areas within corridors will act as benchmarks for natural genetic diversity.
 - iv. Indicator 1.3b accepted: The percentage area of distinct habitat types in the non-harvesting landbase.
 - v. Objective 1.3.A.ii accepted: Sustain natural stand level genetic diversity on harvested Areas.
 - vi. Indicator 1.3c and its target and variance accepted: The average percentage of stand-level retention in harvested areas within the DFA; Target: $\geq 7\%$ Annually within the DFA (minimum of 3.5% by block, no maximum%); Variance: 0%.
 - vii. Objective 1.3.A.iii accepted: Sustain natural genetic diversity of trees on harvested Areas.
 - viii. Indicator 1.3d and its target and variance accepted: Percent compliance with Chief Forester's Standards for Seed Use; Target: 100%; Variance: 0%.

2. Draft Performance Matrix: Criterion 2.0 *Maintenance and Enhancement of Forest Ecosystem Conditions and Productivity*.

- a. Review of Criterion 2.0 *Maintenance and Enhancement of Forest Ecosystem Conditions and Productivity* (Element 2.1 – Forest Ecosystem Resilience) values, objectives, indicators, targets, variances, and comments.
- b. Discussion on Criterion 2.0 *Maintenance and Enhancement of Forest Ecosystem Conditions and Productivity* (Element 2.1 – Forest Ecosystem Resilience) values, objectives, indicators, targets, variances, and comments.

c. Consensus or general agreement (with dissensions) of PAG Representatives on Criterion 2.0 *Maintenance and Enhancement of Forest Ecosystem Conditions and Productivity* (Element 2.1 – Forest Ecosystem Resilience) values, objectives, indicators, targets, variances, and comments:

- i. Value 2.1.A accepted: Resilient Forest Ecosystems.
- ii. Objective 2.1.A.i accepted: Well-balanced ecosystems that largely support natural processes.
- iii. Indicator 2.1a and its target and variance accepted with two dissensions and one abstinence: The amount of old forest by landscape unit/Natural Disturbance Type within the DFA; Target: Targets set as per the “Provincial non-spatial old growth order”; Variance: 0%.
 - Dissension 1: This indicator is previously used and if used, need to copy additional ones which are actions to provide resiliency. Would rather wait to see newly proposed indicators before repeating indicators.
 - Dissension 2: This indicator is used elsewhere and does not measure resilience.
- iv. Indicator 2.1b and its target and variance accepted with two dissensions and one abstinence: The amount of interior old forest by NDU/merged BEC within the DFA; Target: Targets set as per the “Landscape Biodiversity Objectives for the PG TSA”; Variance: 0%.
 - Dissension 1: This indicator is previously used and if used, need to copy additional ones which are actions to provide resiliency. Would rather wait to see newly proposed indicators before repeating indicators.
 - Dissension 2: This indicator is used elsewhere and does not measure resilience.
- v. Indicator 2.1c and its target and variance accepted with two dissensions and one abstinence: The young patch size distribution by NDU within the DFA; Target: Targets set as per the “Landscape Biodiversity Objectives for the PG TSA”; Variance: 0%.
 - Dissension 1: This indicator is previously used and if used, need to copy additional ones which are actions to provide resiliency. Would rather wait to see newly proposed indicators before repeating indicators.
 - Dissension 2: This indicator is used elsewhere and does not measure resilience.

d. Review of Criterion 2.0 *Maintenance and Enhancement of Forest Ecosystem Conditions and Productivity* (Element 2.2 – Productive Ecosystems) values, objectives, indicators, targets, variances, and comments.

- e. Discussion on Criterion 2.0 *Maintenance and Enhancement of Forest Ecosystem Conditions and Productivity* (Element 2.2 – Productive Ecosystems) values, objectives, indicators, targets, variances, and comments.
- f. Consensus of PAG Representatives on Criterion 2.0 *Maintenance and Enhancement of Forest Ecosystem Conditions and Productivity* (Element 2.2 – Productive Ecosystems) values, objectives, indicators, targets, variances, and comments:
 - i. Value 2.2.A accepted: Productive Forest Ecosystems.
 - ii. Objective 2.2.A.i accepted: Maintain forest ecosystem conditions that are capable of supporting naturally occurring species.
 - iii. Indicator 2.2a and its target and variance accepted: The amount of Caribou Ungulate Winter Range habitat within TFL30; Target: 100% Annually; Variance: 0%.
 - iv. Indicator 2.2b and its target, variance, and comments accepted: The percentage of forest operations consistent with approved provincial Species at Risk Notice/Orders applicable to TFL30; Target: 100% Annually; Variance: 0%; Comments: Currently does not apply on the DFA.
 - v. Indicator 2.2c and its target, variance, and comments accepted: The amount of landscape-level biodiversity reserves within the DFA; Target: Hectares set aside to maintain natural forest conditions across the DFA as per the latest Management Plan; Variance: 0%; Add wildlife/biodiversity corridors (re action by Kerry).
 - vi. Indicator 2.2e and its target and variance accepted: The percentage of forest operations consistent with riparian reserve requirements as identified in site plans; Target: 100% Annually; Variance: 0%.
 - vii. Indicator 2.2g and its target and variance accepted: Site index by BEC zone within the DFA; Target: See table in annual report for targets; Variance: -5%.

C. Handout

- 1. "Tracing the Flow of Benefits from SFM"

D. Meeting Feedback (PAG questionnaire): TFL 30 PAG questionnaire distributed, completed, and collected.

E. Next meeting

- 1. Agenda:

a. Criterion 2.0 Maintenance and Enhancement of Forest Ecosystem Conditions and Productivity

b. Criterion 5.0 Multiple Benefits to Society

2. Time: 9:00 AM – 4:00 PM

3. Date: Monday, February 13, 2006

4. Place: Room 210-2, Prince George Civic Centre

F. Actions

ID#	ACTION	WHO	DEADLINE	STATUS
June 04-03	Conduct ground collection sampling.	Kerry Deschamps	August 1, 2004	To start in early January 2006. Contract signed and pre-work to be done before surveying begins.
Dec 1 – 01	Add the following questions to the ground survey: Are you a member of a local club? If so, which club? Are you a First Nation user engaging in a traditional use of the land?	Kerry Deschamps	January 3, 2006	
Jan 9 – 04	Develop an exit interview survey for public members leaving the PAG	Kerry Deschamps	Spring 2006	
Jan 9 – 06	Develop indicator and target on Public effectiveness on planning processes.	Kerry Deschamps	January 21, 2006	
Jan 9 – 07	Send PAG information from other SFMP's on Criteria 6	Kerry Deschamps	January 21, 2006	
Jan 9 – 08	Add the following question to the Meeting Evaluation form: Your satisfaction with the amount and timing of information presented.	Roxanne Yanishewski	January 21, 2006	

Jan 9 – 09	Add the following to the CII Matrix: Look at including antique forests to 1.4.a. Definition needed. (Consult with Trevor Goward, Dave Radies, and Craig DeLong)	Kerry Deschamps	January 21, 2006	
Jan 9 – 10	Contact Les Husband about absence from PAG meetings and that another PAG member has been appointed for his area.	Roxanne Yanishewski	January 21, 2006	
Jan 9 – 11	At the category “public interest” to list of public areas when the Terms of Reference are next reviewed.	Roxanne Yanishewski	December 2006	
Jan 21 – 01	Respond to PAG recommendation C.1.a.	Kerry Deschamps	February 2, 2006	
Jan 21 – 02	Obtain info on species dependent on and amount of buffers needed.	Kerry Deschamps	February 2, 2006	
Jan 21 – 03	Add items from January 21, 2006 minutes to the CII Matrix	Roxanne Yanishewski	February 2, 2006	
Jan 21 – 04	Follow up and report back on stand-level best practices standards.	Kerry Deschamps	February 2, 2006	
Jan 21 – 05	Check if Canfor is continuing to use Gilbert Proulx’s connectivity corridors and if so, consider developing an indicator.	Kerry Deschamps	February 2, 2006	
Jan 21 – 06	Add Species Diversity Index to the Glossary	Kerry Deschamps	Spring 2006	
Feb 4 - 01	Provide map of seed zones as per the Chief Forester’s Standards for Seed Use.	Kerry Deschamps	February 13, 2006	

Feb 4 - 02	Develop a way to address maintaining natural genetic biodiversity through acknowledging what Canfor is doing with their wildlife corridors through current indicators or with a new indicator.	Kerry Deschamps	Spring 2006	
Feb 4 - 03	Develop a value, objective, and/or indicator around the use of natural succession.	Kerry Deschamps	Spring 2006	
Feb 4 - 04	Provide diagrams on hydrological, carbon, nutrient, and successional cycles.	Kerry Deschamps	Spring 2006	
Feb 4 - 05	Develop an indicator on a monitoring plan for evaluating ecosystem resilience.	Kerry Deschamps	Spring 2006	