

CSA - TFL30

Public Advisory Group Meeting

January 9, 2006, 9:00 am – 5:00 pm
Prince George Civic Centre - Room 202-3

Minutes

Attendance:

<p><i>Public Advisory Group:</i> Ryan Bichon - McLeod Lake Indian Band David Kim – Forestry Contractor (Big & Small) Sandra Kinsey - Environment Anne Migvar – Agriculture/Ranching Lee Sexsmith – Recreation (non-commercial, motorized) Dave Stevenson – Environment Dave King – Recreation (non-commercial)</p>	<p><i>CSA Advisors:</i> Kerry Deschamps – Canfor Tim Sproule - BCTS</p>
<p><i>Facilitator & Scribe:</i> Roxanne Yanishewski (R. Yanishewski & Associates)</p>	<p><i>Observers:</i> Doug Beckett Gord Haines Adrien A.J. Voyer Ray Vallee</p>

A. Welcome & Introduction

1. Members signed in
2. Introductions
3. Confirmed agenda
4. Meeting evaluation results for December 1, 2005 meeting made available.
5. Minutes of the December 1, 2005 meeting accepted with one correction: Under Attendance, "Len Shankel" was corrected to "Len Stratton"

B. Canfor's draft SFMP Performance Matrix for TFL 30

1. Draft Performance Matrix: Criterion 6.0 *Accepting Society's Responsibility for Sustainable Development.*

- a. Review of Criterion 6.0 *Accepting Society's Responsibility for Sustainable Development* (Element 6.2 – Respect for Aboriginal Forest Values, Knowledge, and Uses) values, objectives, indicators, targets, variances, and comments.
- b. Discussion on Criterion 6.0 *Accepting Society's Responsibility for Sustainable Development* (Element 6.2 – Respect for Aboriginal Forest Values, Knowledge, and Uses) values, objectives, indicators, targets, variances, and comments.
- c. Consensus of PAG Members on Criterion 6.0 *Accepting Society's Responsibility for Sustainable Development* (Element 6.2 – Respect for Aboriginal Forest Values, Knowledge, and Uses) indicators, targets, variances, and comments:
 - i. Indicator 6.2a and its target and variance accepted: Percentage of forest operations consistent with the Heritage Conservation Act; Target: 100% Annually; Variance: 0%.
 - ii. Indicator 6.2b and its target, variance, and comments accepted: Documented opportunities for Aboriginal peoples participation in developing public plans; Target: ≥ 1 meaningful face-to-face meeting per Aboriginal peoples per year; Variance: 0; Comments: Public plans include Management plan (5yr), forest stewardship plan (5yr), SFM plan (3-5yrs). Target may be higher if major issues arise on the DFA.
 - iii. Indicator 6.2c and its target and variance accepted: Percentage of issues raised by Aboriginal peoples evaluated by Canfor; Target: 100% Annually; Variance: -10%.
 - iv. Indicator 6.2d and its target, variance, and comments accepted: Percentage of issues raised by Aboriginal Chief & Council or their representative developed into mutually agreed upon strategies; Target: 100% Annually; Variance: -50%; Comments: Over time the intent is to decrease the variance.
 - v. Indicator 6.2e and its target and variance accepted: Incorporation of mutually agreed upon strategies to address Aboriginal peoples' values, knowledge, and uses in public plans for the DFA; Target: 100% Annually; Variance: 0%.
 - vi. Indicator 6.2f and its target, variance, and comments accepted: Percentage of forest operations consistent with mutually agreed upon strategies; Target: 100% Annually; Variance: 0%; Comments: Starts after mutually agreed upon strategies are in place.
- d. Review of Criterion 6.0 *Accepting Society's Responsibility for Sustainable Development* (Element 6.3 – Public Participation) values, objectives, indicators, targets, variances, and comments.
- e. Discussion on Criterion 6.0 *Accepting Society's Responsibility for Sustainable Development* (Element 6.3 – Public Participation) values, objectives, indicators, targets, variances, and comments.

- f. Consensus of PAG Members on Criterion 6.0 *Accepting Society's Responsibility for Sustainable Development* (Element 6.3 – Public Participation) values, objectives, indicators, targets, variances, and comments:
- i. Value 6.3.A accepted: Public participation in decision making processes.
 - ii. Objective 6.3.A.i accepted: A clear process for wide public participation in SFM.
 - iii. Indicator 6.3a and its target and variance accepted: Percentage of PAG general satisfaction with public participation process; Target: 100%; Variance: -20%.
 - iv. Indicator 6.3b and its target, variance, and comments accepted: Percentage of PAG overall satisfaction with public participation process; Target: 100%; Variance: -15%; Comments: Percentage is based on average.
 - v. Indicator 6.3c and its target and variance accepted: Number of times PAG Terms of Reference reviewed; Target: ≥ 1 Annually; Variance: 0.
 - vi. Indicator 6.3d and its target and variance accepted: Number of PAG meetings; Target: ≥ 1 Annually; Variance: 0.
 - vii. Indicator 6.3e and its target, variance, and comments accepted: Percentage of the public areas as defined in the ToR invited to participate in the PAG process; Target: 100% Annually; Variance: 0%; Comments: Attendance by public area will be shared with the PAG during the annual reporting. Includes also those removed from the ToR.
 - viii. Indicator 6.3f and its target, variance, and comments accepted: Percentage of people leaving the PAG process getting a follow-up interview survey; Target: 100%; Variance: 0%; Comments: Results and proposed improvements will be shared with the PAG.
 - ix. Indicator 6.3g and its target, variance, and comments accepted: The number of opportunities given to the public and stakeholders to express forestry related concerns and be involved in our planning processes; Target: ≥ 3 Annually; Variance: -1; Comments: Canfor has developed a process called Creating Opportunities to track this indicator.
 - x. Indicator 6.3h and its target, variance, and comments accepted: Percentage of communication strategy requirements met; Target: 100% Annually; Variance: -5%; Comments: Communication strategies are on an individual basis.
- g. Review of Criterion 6.0 *Accepting Society's Responsibility for Sustainable Development* (Element 6.4 – Information for Decision Making) values, objectives, indicators, targets, variances, and comments.

- h. Discussion on Criterion 6.0 *Accepting Society's Responsibility for Sustainable Development* (Element 6.4 – Information for Decision Making) values, objectives, indicators, targets, variances, and comments.
- i. Consensus of PAG Members on Criterion 6.0 *Accepting Society's Responsibility for Sustainable Development* (Element 6.4 – Information for Decision Making) values, objectives, indicators, targets, variances, and comments:
- i. Value 6.4.A accepted: Useful information for informed decision making.
 - ii. Objective 6.4.A.i accepted: Useful and timely information to interested parties.
 - iii. Indicator 6.4a and its target, variance, and comments accepted: Percentage of PAG satisfied with amount and timing of information presented for informed decision-making; Target: 100% Annually; Variance: -20%; Comments: Information should be from varied sources so it is robust and should be based on good science. Satisfied is an average of PAG responses.
 - iv. Indicator 6.4b and its target, variance, and comments accepted: Percentage of interested parties satisfied with amount and timing of information presented for informed decision-making; Target: 100% Every 3 years; Variance: -40%; Comments: Survey of Creating Opportunities database group.
- j. Review of Criterion 1.0 *Conservation of Biological Diversity* (Element 1.4 – Protected Areas and Sites of Special Biological Significance) values, objectives, indicators, targets, variances, and comments.
- k. Discussion on Criterion 1.0 *Conservation of Biological Diversity* (Element 1.4 – Protected Areas and Sites of Special Biological Significance) values, objectives, indicators, targets, variances, and comments.
- l. Consensus of PAG Representatives on Criterion 1.0 *Conservation of Biological Diversity* (Element 1.4 – Protected Areas and Sites of Special Biological Significance) values, objectives, indicators, targets, variances, and comments:
- i. Value 1.4.A accepted: Sites of Special Biological Significance.
 - ii. Objective 1.4.A.i accepted: Sites of special biological significance are identified and appropriately managed.
 - iii. Indicator 1.4a and its target, variance, and comments accepted: Percent of appropriate Canfor and contractor personnel trained to identify sites of biological significance; Target: 100%; Variance: 0%; Comments: Include spawning habitat, moose wallows, etc.

- iv. Indicator 1.4b and its target, variance, and comments accepted: Percentage of forest operations consistent with sites of biological significance management strategies as identified in site plans; Target: 100%; Variance: 0%; Comments: Report annually the number and type of sites in and out of blocks found by the various operational layout or surveys and share this information with the PAG.
- v. Value 1.4.B accepted: Protected Areas.
- vi. Objective 1.4.B.i accepted: Protected Areas are identified and appropriately managed.
- vii. Indicator 1.4c and its target and variance accepted: Hectares of unauthorized forestry related harvesting or road construction within Protected Areas; Target: 0 ha; Variance: 0%.

C. Other:

1. PAG membership – PAG membership was updated. The PAG recommended and Canfor accepted that:

- a. Gord Haines be the member for "Hunting, Fishing – recreation."
- b. Ryan Bichon be the member and Ray Vallee be the alternate for "McLeod Lake Indian Band."
- c. A new area, "Public Interest" be created and added to the Terms of Reference.
- d. Doug Beckett be the member for "Public Interest."

2. UBC/Canfor SFM Public Opinion Survey – Survey introduced and distributed to everyone attending the PAG meeting. Time provided to complete the survey during the meeting.

3. Newsletter "Coalition Update" distributed to all those in attendance.

D. Update Actions – see Action Table

E. Meeting Feedback (PAG questionnaire): TFL 30 PAG questionnaire distributed, completed, and collected.

F. Next meeting

1. Agenda:

- a. Criterion 1.0 *Conservation of Biological Diversity*

b. Criterion 2.0 *Maintenance and Enhancement of Forest Ecosystem Conditions and Productivity*

2. Time: 9:00 AM – 5:00 PM
3. Date: Saturday, January 21, 2006
4. Place: Room 201-2, Prince George Civic Centre

G. Actions

ID#	ACTION	WHO	DEADLINE	STATUS
June 04-03	Conduct ground collection sampling.	Kerry Deschamps	August 1, 2004	To start in early January 2006. Contract signed and pre-work to be done before surveying begins.
Oct 04-03	Invite Mike Green to represent trapping interest.	Kerry Deschamps	Spring 2005	Because Mike Green is not interested, Kerry will contact Wayne Sharp and/or Len Shankel.
Oct 20 – 01	Provide PAG with TFL 30 map showing BEC and NDU.	Kerry Deschamps	November 9, 2005	Kerry will provide package at next meeting (Jan 21).
Oct 20 – 02	Provide PAG with brief description of BEC and NDU.	Kerry Deschamps	November 9, 2005	(See Oct 20 – 01 Status)
Oct 20 – 03	Provide PAG with a comparison of BEC versus NDU amounts of Old Forest.	Kerry Deschamps	November 9, 2005	(See Oct 20 – 01 Status)
Oct 20 – 04	Provide PAG with the Craig DeLong articles on Young Patch Size Distribution.	Kerry Deschamps	November 9, 2005	(See Oct 20 – 01 Status)
Nov 9 – 01	Make changes to the Terms of Reference.	Roxanne Yanishewski	December 1, 2005	Completed.

Nov 9 – 04	Develop indicator(s) reflecting the need to identify and incorporate aboriginal forest values and knowledge in forestry management planning.	Kerry Deschamps	December 1, 2005	Completed.
Dec 1 – 01	Add the following questions to the ground survey: Are you a member of a local club? If so, which club? Are you a First Nation user engaging in a traditional use of the land?	Kerry Deschamps	January 3, 2006	
Dec 1 – 02	Add the following to the CII Matrix: Develop strategies addressing Aboriginal Forest values, knowledge, and uses (for example, Culturally Modified Trees, cultural use, etc.) with local First Nations.	Kerry Deschamps	January 9, 2006	
Jan 9 – 01	Add the following question to the Meeting Evaluation form, along with a new heading "Public Process" and comment section: Your overall satisfaction with the public participation process.	Roxanne Yanishewski	January 21, 2006	
Jan 9 – 02	Add to CII Matrix: Meet with local Aboriginal Bands to discuss best communication pathways regarding public plans; within 6 months; refer to "meaningful communication" target.	Kerry Deschamps	January 21, 2006	
Jan 9 – 03	Add to CII Matrix: Develop cultural heritage coverage for the DFA; one year.	Kerry Deschamps	January 21, 2006	
Jan 9 – 04	Develop an exit interview survey for public members leaving the PAG	Kerry Deschamps	Spring 2006	

Jan 9 – 05	Send reminder to PAG to provide their comments on Public effectiveness on planning processes.	Roxanne Yanishewski	January 10, 2006	
Jan 9 – 06	Develop indicator and target on Public effectiveness on planning processes.	Kerry Deschamps	January 21, 2006	
Jan 9 – 07	Send PAG information from other SFMP's on Criteria 6	Kerry Deschamps	January 21, 2006	
Jan 9 – 08	Add the following question to the Meeting Evaluation form: Your satisfaction with the amount and timing of information presented.	Roxanne Yanishewski	January 21, 2006	
Jan 9 - 09	Add the following to the CII Matrix: Look at including antique forests to 1.4.a. Definition needed. (Consult with Trevor Goward, Dave Radies, and Craig DeLong)	Kerry Deschamps	January 21, 2006	
Jan 9 - 10	Contact Les Husband about absence from PAG meetings and that another PAG member has been appointed for his area.	Roxanne Yanishewski	January 21, 2006	
Jan 9 - 11	At the category "public interest" to list of public areas when the Terms of Reference are next reviewed.	Roxanne Yanishewski	December 2006	