

**CSA - TFL30**  
**Public Advisory Group Meeting**  
 October 20, 2005, 5:30 – 9:15 pm  
 Prince George Civic Centre - Room 204-5

**Minutes**

Attendance:

<p><i>Public Advisory Group:</i>          Betty Abbs – Local Community          Ryan Bichon - McLeod Lake Indian Band          Bonnie Hooge – Recreation-Commercial          David Kim – Forestry Contractor-General          David King – Recreation (Non-commercial, non-motorized)          Sandra Kinsey – Environment          Lee Sexsmith – Recreation (non-commercial, motorized)</p>	<p><i>CSA Advisors:</i>          Kerry Deschamps – Canfor          Rhonda Thibeault – MSRM          Len Stratton – BCTS (Prince George)</p>
<p><i>Facilitator &amp; Scribe:</i>          Roxanne Yanishewski (R. Yanishewski &amp; Associates)</p>	<p><i>Observers:</i>          Gord Haines          Anne Migvar          Adrien A.J. Voyer          Ray Vallee</p>

**A. Welcome & Introduction**

1. Members signed in
2. Introductions
3. Binders distributed
4. Confirmed agenda
5. Minutes of the May 19, 2005 meeting accepted with the following correction: the spelling for "Huble" Farm is corrected.
6. Updates from questions arising from the last meeting minutes:
  - i. **Mountain Pine Beetle Survey** – A small amount of pine is located in the west end of TFL 30 near the Giscome Trail. Canfor is satellite imaging to identify polygons with beetle-attacked pine and using small blocks (<15 hectares) to harvest these stands.

- ii. **Restoration work** – Canfor hired Pierre Beaudry and began restoration work in watershed 20 and several other watersheds six to eight weeks ago. Only non-heavy (that is, small) machinery work is being completed, along with seeding, etc. Due to heavy rainfall and the need to avoid disturbing the wet soil, work in higher elevations is not being done.

## B. Canfor's draft SFMP Performance Matrix

1. Kerry Deschamps provided a brief overview of the need to update the TFL30 SFM plan and performance matrix to the CSA Z809-02 SFM standard. An external auditor will review the SFM plan in mid April 2006 and the performance indicators & environmental management system in late May 2006.
2. Performance Matrix introduced – with the consent of the PAG (see the minutes of May 19, 2005), Canfor created a draft Performance Matrix for the PAG to review and edit. Some of the indicators from the new PG SFMP were incorporated into the draft Performance Matrix.
3. Consensus process reviewed – Everyone present at the meetings may participate in the discussions following the Terms of Reference. Only PAG members will be asked to reach consensus when deciding on each aspect of the Performance Matrix. The PAG's decision, along with any disagreement, rather than the discussion, will be recorded in the minutes.
4. Draft Performance Matrix: Criterion 1.0 *Conservation of Biological Diversity*.
  - a. Review of Criterion 1.0 *Conservation of Biological Diversity* (Element 1.1 – Ecosystem Diversity) values, objectives, indicators, targets, variances, and comments.
  - b. Discussion on Criterion 1.0 *Conservation of Biological Diversity* (Element 1.1 – Ecosystem Diversity) values, objectives, indicators, targets, variances, and comments.
  - c. Consensus of PAG Representatives on Criterion 1.0 *Conservation of Biological Diversity* (Element 1.1 - Ecosystem Diversity) values, objectives, indicators, targets, variances, and comments:
    - i. Value 1.1.A accepted: "Well-balanced and functioning ecosystems."
    - ii. Objective 1.3.A.i accepted: "Maintain landscapes that support the natural diversity, variety, and pattern of ecosystems."
    - iii. Indicator 1.1.A.i.1.1c accepted with one abstention: "The young patch size distribution by NDU within the DFA."
      - Reason for the abstention: Do not understand how this indication fits into the larger context.
    - iv. Indicator 1.1.A.i.1.1c's target and variance accepted: "Target: Targets set as per the 'Landscape Biodiversity Objectives for the PG TSA'; Variance: 0%."

v. Indicator 1.1.A.i.1.1d accepted with one abstention: "Trend towards the percentage of area of patches in 101-500ha range within the Wet Trench and Wet Mountain of the young patch size distribution class 101-1000ha."

- Reason for the abstention: Do not understand how this indication fits into the larger context.

vi. Indicator 1.1.A.i.1.1d's target and variance accepted: "Target: 75%; Variance: -5%."

5. Draft Performance Matrix: Criterion 6.0 *Accepting Society's Responsibility for Sustainable Development*.

a. Review of Criterion 6.0 *Accepting Society's Responsibility for Sustainable Development* (Element 6.1 – Aboriginal and Treaty Rights) values, objectives, indicators, targets, variances, and comments.

b. Discussion on Criterion 6.0 *Accepting Society's Responsibility for Sustainable Development* (Element 6.1 – Aboriginal and Treaty Rights) values, objectives, indicators, targets, variances, and comments.

c. Consensus of PAG Representatives on Criterion 6.0 *Accepting Society's Responsibility for Sustainable Development* (Element 6.1 – Aboriginal and Treaty Rights) values, objectives, indicators, targets, variances, and comments:

i. Value 6.1.A accepted: "Aboriginal and Treaty Rights."

ii. Objective 6.1.A.i accepted: "Recognition and respect for Aboriginal and treaty rights."

iii. Indicator 1.1.A.i.6.1a and its target, variance, and comments accepted: "No unauthorized forestry activities within legally recognized and Agreement in Principle areas (Province and Federal) treaty areas; Target: 100%; Variance: 0%; Comments: Buffer around treaty areas."

iv. Indicator 1.1.A.i.6.1b and its target and variance accepted: "All FSP and associated major amendments are referred to affected aboriginal bands; Target: 100%; Variance: 0%."

v. Indicator 1.1.A.i.6.1c and its target and variance accepted: "All Pesticide Management Plans and associated major amendments are referred to affected aboriginal bands; Target: 100%; Variance: 0%."

**C. Meeting Feedback (PAG questionnaire)** – TFL 30 PAG questionnaire distributed, completed, and collected.

**D. Next meeting**

1. Agenda:

a. Criterion 1.0 *Conservation of Biological Diversity*.

b. Criterion 6.0 *Accepting Society's Responsibility for Sustainable Development*.

c. Annual Report feedback

2. Time: 5:30 – 9:00 PM

3. Date: Wednesday, November 9, 2005

4. Place: Room 201-2, Prince George Civic Centre.

## E. Actions

ID#	ACTION	WHO	DEADLINE	STATUS
June 04-02	Set up schedule and proceed with ground survey.	Kerry Deschamps, Dr. Peter MacMillan	August 1, 2004	Will be signed with UNBC by May 31 or offered to another contractor.
June 04-03	Conduct ground collection sampling.	Kerry Deschamps	August 1, 2004	To start in early June 2005.
Oct 04-01	Provide organizational chart and member list.	Kerry Deschamps	Spring 2005	Completed.
Oct 04-02	Develop Communication strategy and bring to PAG for review.	Kerry Deschamps	Spring 2005	Completed.
Oct 04-03	Invite Mike Green to represent trapping interest.	Kerry Deschamps	Spring 2005	Because Mike Green is not interested, Kerry will contact Wayne Sharp and/or Len Shankel.
Oct 04-04	Determine if Pierre Beaudry collects fish presence for Stream Crossing Quality Index.	Kerry Deschamps	Spring 2005	Completed.
Oct 04-05	Develop Stream Crossing Quality improvement and restoration plan and bring back to PAG for approval.	Kerry Deschamps	Spring 2005	Completed.
Oct 04-06	Develop Water & Soil Quality plan to address comments and bring back to PAG for approval.	Kerry Deschamps	Fall 2005	

May 05 - 01	Distribute meeting feedback form via email to PAG members attending the May 19 PAG meeting.	Roxanne Yanishewski	May 20, 2005	
May 05 - 02	Mail the TFL 30 SFMP Annual Report to all PAG members.	Kerry Deschamps	June 15, 2005	
May 05 - 03	Distribute Canfor's organizational chart and phone list to PAG members.	Roxanne Yanishewski	May 31, 2005	
May 05 - 04	Merge current TFL 30 SFMP with draft matrix of indicators for the PG Forest District SFMP and distribute to PAG Representatives and Alternates.	Kerry Deschamps	September 30, 2005	
Oct 20 - 01	Provide PAG with TFL 30 map showing BEC and NDU.	Kerry Deschamps	November 9, 2005	
Oct 20 - 01	Provide PAG with brief description of BEC and NDU.	Kerry Deschamps	November 9, 2005	
Oct 20 - 01	Provide PAG with a comparison of BEC versus NDU amounts of Old Forest.	Kerry Deschamps	November 9, 2005	
Oct 20 - 01	Provide PAG with the Craig DeLong articles on Young Patch Size Distribution.	Kerry Deschamps	November 9, 2005	