

## CSA - TFL30

### Public Advisory Group Meeting

October 26, 2006, 5:30 pm – 9:00 pm  
Coast Inn of the North – Summit Room

### Minutes

#### Attendance:

<p><i>Public Advisory Group:</i> Doug Beckett – Public Interest Jo Graber – Historical/Cultural Dave Kim – Forestry Contractor - General Dave King - Recreation (non-commercial) Sandra Kinsey - Environment Anne Migvar - Agriculture/Ranching Patience Rackochy – Small Business (non-forestry) (alternate) Dave Stevenson – Local Community</p>	<p><i>CSA Advisors:</i> John Deal – Canfor Kerry Deschamps – Canfor Tim Sproule – BCTS</p>
<p><i>Facilitator &amp; Scribe:</i> Roxanne Yanishewski (R. Yanishewski &amp; Associates)</p>	<p><i>Observers:</i> Rhonda Thibeault (ILMB)</p>

#### A. Welcome & Introduction

1. Members signed in.
2. Introductions
3. Meeting evaluation results for April 22, 2006 meeting reviewed.
4. Confirmed agenda.
5. Minutes of the April 22, 2006 meeting accepted.

#### B. Registration Audit Updates

1. Canfor
  - a. One minor non-conformance and four opportunities for improvement.
  - b. Recommended for certification to the CSA standards. Because the CSA standards are accepted by the Pan-European standards, Canfor has also met the Pan-European standards.

## 2. BCTS

- a. One minor non-conformance and five opportunities for improvement.
- b. Recommended for certification to the CSA standards.

## C. Indicators – Refinement and Completion

### 1. Ecosystem representation:

- a. Presentation by John Deal (manager of Wildlife and Biodiversity, Canfor)
- b. Discussion on Ecosystem representation in TFL30.
  - i. Based on Dr. Fred Bunnell's (UBC) risk ranking.
  - ii. If doing a good job on Ecosystem representation, over 99% of species, known and unknown, should be accounted for.
  - iii. Draw first from the non-harvestable landbase.
  - iv. Ecosystem representation is proactive, as compared to species-at-risk, which is reactive. Use ecosystem representation as one of three pillars of biodiversity management.
  - v. TFL30 has only one ecosystem that is largely represented on only the TFL. This ecosystem is well represented in an unmanaged state on the TFL.
  - vi. Ignore the "Risk Ranking" column (last column) in the chart on page 22, as this was an experimental analysis.

### c. Consensus of PAG Representatives on Criterion 1.0 Conservation of Biological Diversity (Element 1.3 – Genetic Diversity) target and comments:

- i. Indicator 1.3b's target and comment accepted: Indicator: The percentage area of distinct habitat types in the non-harvesting landbase; Target:  $\geq 15\%$  for common ecosystem groupings and 50% for rare ecosystems; Comment: the condition between harvesting and non-harvesting is explored.

### d. Consensus of PAG Representatives on Criterion 2.0 Maintenance and Enhancement of Forest Ecosystem Conditions and Productivity (Element 2.2 – Forest Ecosystem Productivity) target and comments:

- i. Indicator 2.2f's target and comment accepted: Indicator: The percentage area of distinct habitat types in the non-harvesting landbase; Target:  $\geq 15\%$  for common ecosystem groupings and 50% for rare ecosystems; Comment: the condition between harvesting and non-harvesting is explored.

## D. Terms of Reference

1. Meeting Expenses & Logistics: Mileage reimbursement will be increased to \$0.50, effectively immediately.
2. Roles and Responsibilities: Added the following under 2) PAG Members' Roles: "Canfor and BCTS (Prince George Business Area) and the PAG recognize and agree that Aboriginal participation in the public participation process will not prejudice Aboriginal and Treaty rights nor constitute consultation."

**E. Meeting Feedback (PAG questionnaire):** TFL 30 PAG questionnaire distributed, completed, and collected.

## F. Next meeting

1. Agenda: Indicator Refinement Continuation
2. Time: 5:30 – 9:00 PM
3. Date: November 30, 2006
4. Place: Prince George Civic Centre [Room 208]

## G. Actions

ID#	ACTION	WHO	DEADLINE	STATUS
Jan 21 – 04	Follow up and report back on stand-level best practices standards.	Kerry Deschamps	February 2, 2006	Ongoing
Feb 13 – 03	Review the current indicators to ensure they fall within the natural range of variance.	Kerry Deschamps	Spring 2006	Move to CII Matrix as "Investigate and document the natural range of variability for appropriate biological indicators and report back top the PAG each year at the time of annual report review"
Feb 13 – 08	Investigate the possibility of an indicator that indicates the number of products and services related to various processing facilities.	Kerry Deschamps	Spring 2006	BCTS is unable to track past the scale. Canfor will provide a list of mills and likely products.
Feb 13 – 09	Develop an indicator regarding minimal harvest level within the DFA.	Kerry Deschamps	Spring 2006	Completed: Canfor prefers to keep a minimum of zero so that it can remain viable as a business over the long term.

Feb 13 – 10	Investigate the possibility of an indicator regarding the ratio of money Canfor pays directly to employees versus government.	Kerry Deschamps	Spring 2006	Ongoing
Mar 25 – 05	Review the timber forest product opportunities on the TFL and look at opportunities to encourage other timber products.	Kerry Deschamps	June 2006	
April 22 – 01	Determine why “Reduced Ecosystem Resilience” losses to Mountain Pine Beetle were very large (compared to the Base Case 1) if the MPB were not harvested.	Kerry Deschamps	June 2006	Completed: The reduced ecosystem resilience scenario increased the old growth target ages by 20% among other things. While this had no impact on the level of harvest in the short term, it did retain more old pine for old growth due to the increased target age. These stands were attacked by the beetle and not salvaged. As a result this scenario had higher losses to the MPB.
April 22 – 02	Provide the multiplier figure used to determine the number of indirect jobs per direct employment in the forest industry.	Kerry Deschamps	June 2006	Completed: 1.22 jobs (direct and indirect) per 1000m3
April 22 – 03	Distribute Forecasting presentation and “Summary of Trends” listing all of the SFMP’s elements.	Steering Committee	May 2006	Completed
June 15 - 01	Add to CII Matrix “consider developing an indicator for species-at-risk flora habitat.”	Roxanne Yanishewski	June 30, 2006	Completed
June 15 - 02	Provide TFL30 2005-06 Annual Report to PAG members and ask PAG members to provide comments to Roxanne Yanishewski by June 30, 2006.	Steering Committee	June 22, 2006	Completed
June 15 - 03	Distribute to PAG the Auditors’ final reports on BCTS and Canfor.	Steering Committee	June 30, 2006	Completed
Oct 26 - 01	Distribute definitions of ecological groupings to PAG.	John Deal/ Roxanne Yanishewski	November 15, 2006	